WP 1005

(1)

(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 3856 /2004

PETITIONER : Chudamani Siddar,
Aged about 19 years,
S/o.Late shri Krishna Lal
R/o.Vill. Kunkuri, Tahsil
Kharsiya, Distt. Raigarh
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 371 dt 25/10/04
Presented by Shri S.P. Sahu
dated 25/10/04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary
Department Education
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur
(C.G.)

2. District Education Officer
Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh
(C.G.)

3. Block Education Officer
T.D. Block Kharsiya,
District Raigarh (C.G.)

4. The State of Madhya Pradesh
Through Principal Secretary
Vallabh Bhawan Bhawan
Bhopal (M.P.)

5. Union of India
Through Secretary
Department of Personnel
and Training,
New Delhi

RECEIPT COPY
25/10/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

25/10/04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS

5

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 3856 of 2004

Petitioner Chudamani Siddar

Versus

Respondents The State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Ratan Pusty, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for the respondent No. 5.

ORAL ORDER

(10th July, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the son of Shri Krishna Lal Siddar, who was working as Assistant Teacher at Primary School, Telikote, Block Kharsiya, District Raigarh. Shri Krishna Lal Siddar died in harness on 27.11.1998.

2. The petitioner, being one of the dependant-legal heirs of the deceased filed an application thereafter for compassionate appointment before the Block Education Officer/respondent No. 3 and the same was not considered.

3. The State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated-above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Babu